#### **VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE**

## Annual Program Compliance Report Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2021-22

#### AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: City of Livermore

Date: 12/30/2022

**Primary Point of Contact** 

Name: Bob Vinn

Title: City Engineer

Phone: 925-960-4500

Email: bgvinn@livermoreca.gov

#### Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

#### **Program Compliance Report Structure**

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- \* Cover Agency Contact
- \* General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- \* Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- \* Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

## VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE Annual Program Compliance Report

### TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

**DIRECTIONS:** Complete the sections below based on the Measure B and BB Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

#### **A. VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs**

	Local Streets and Roads	Total	
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 834,809	\$ 834,809	
Revenue Interest	\$ 405,050 \$ (26,730)	\$ 405,050 \$ (26,730)	
Expenditures  Expenditures Matches Table 27	\$ 74,359	\$ 74,359	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,138,770	\$ 1,138,770	
Notes			

#### **B. TIMELY USE OF FUNDS MONITORING**

**Policy:** RECIPIENT may not hold an end of fiscal year fund balance of greater than four-times their annual DLD revenue received for that same fiscal year. The Cities of Albany, Emeryville, and Piedmont are excluded from this requirement.

RECIPIENT found to be non-compliant with this requirement (over the allowable maximum balance) must return the equal amount of DLD funds that exceeds the maximum allowable end of fiscal year fund balance to Alameda CTC, as approved by the Commission.

This section provides a tool to monitor a RECIPIENT's compliance to this policy.

		Maximum Allowed		<b>Current Balance</b>		
	<b>Total Annual</b>	Balance	Current DLD	Over / Under Maximum Allowed		
	Revenue	(4x Annual)	Balance			
	(A)	(B) = (A) * 4	(C)	(D) = (C) - (B)		
VRF Program	\$ 405,050	\$ 1,620,200	\$ 1,138,770	\$ (481,430)		

**Exemption Requests:** RECIPIENT must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances have occurred, and provide a timely expenditure plan that would justify the exemption.

For Exemption consideration, answer the follow:

2) Describe all	Expenditure Plan	, activities, and	estimated timefr	ame to draw dov	vn balance

## Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2021-22

### **GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING**

1a. What is agency's 2021 Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

3. Confirm all expenditures were governing body approved (Yes/No).

PCI =

79

	Use same PCI reported to MTC for their Pavement Condition Rpt. <a href="https://mtc.ca.gov/operations/programs-projects/streets-roads-arterials/pavement">https://mtc.ca.gov/operations/programs-projects/streets-roads-arterials/pavement</a>								
1b.	What is the basis for your PCI nuindex?	umber if not from MTC Report - https://mtc.ca.gov	r/operations/programs-projects/streets-ro	pads-arterials/pavement-condition-					
	N/A								
1c.	f your PCI fell below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what corrective actions are being implemented to increase the PCI? Additionally, if your agency's PCI has been consistently under 60 in the past three years, explain why.								
	N/A								
<b>2</b> a.	How much of the program fund  Encumbered value should be less than or equal  VRF Balance  Total	\$ Encumbered \$ 1,138,770 \$ 1,138,770	/projects?						
26	Why is there a fund halance?	5-1-1-1/A 15-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-							
20.	2b. Why is there a fund balance? Indicate N/A, if not applicable.  To allow the city to complete large, multi-year projects.								
2c. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.									
	Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status					
	Downtown Sidewalk & Misc. ADA	Enhance pedestrian accessibility and mobility on First St. between maple Street and O Street.		Underway					
	Crosswalk Safety Improvements, Project No. 2022-06	Install crosswalk safety improvements at various locations in the City.	\$ 220,000	Underway					
			\$ -						
			\$ -						
			\$ -						
			\$ -						
			\$ -						

## Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2021-22

### **GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING**

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

VRF

No		
Yes		
Yes		

Copy of article, website, signage attached?

Yes Yes If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.

Employee turnover prevented publication. We will be satisfy	ing
the requirement in FY22-23.	

# Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2021-22

### TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year. Performance reporting/quantity complete and other fund expenditures should be consistent with reporting data sent to other agencies (regional/state/federal reporting).

- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	Project	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 21-22	Units for Quantity (Drop-down Menu)	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments		VRF DLD Ex	penditures
1	Bike/Ped	Planning/Scoping	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	·	Enhance pedestrian accessibility and mobility on First St. between maple Street and O Street.		Other	Design and scope verification, 65% design completed.	3. None (Not near EPC)	\$	72,062
2	Bike/Ped	Planning/Scoping	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	Crosswalk Safety Improvements, Project No. 2022-06	Install crosswalk safety improvements at various locations in the City.		Other	Planning for scope and advertising RFP.	3. None (Not near EPC)	\$	797
3	Streets/Rds	Other	Other	Administrative	Independent Audit	Independent audit report.			Eide Bailly LLP	3. None (Not near EPC)	\$	1,500
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs		98%					TOTAL		\$	74,359		
	a. Total Capital \$ 72,859 b. Total Administrative \$ 1,500							TRUE				

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.

N/A