VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report

Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2023-24

	AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION				
Agency Name:	City of San Leandro				
Date:					
Primary Point of C	ontact				
Name:	Felicia Silva				
Title:	Assistant Finance Director				
Phone:	(510) 577-3330				
Email:	Fsilva@sanleandro.org				

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * Cover Agency Contact
- * General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- * Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- * Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the Measure B and BB Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A. VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,571,086	\$ 1,571,086
Revenue	\$ 401,863	\$ 401,863
Interest	\$ 26,253	\$ 26,253
GASB 31 Adjustment	\$ <u>26,523</u>	\$ 26,523
Expenditures	\$ 598,000	\$ 598,000
Expenditures Matches Table 27 End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,427,725	\$ 1,427,725

☑ DLD Recipient verifies amounts above agrees to DLD Recipient's audited financial statements; and DLD Recipient verifies end of the year Fund Balance reflects what is stated on the audited financial statements.

Notes

N/A

B. TIMELY USE OF FUNDS MONITORING

Policy: RECIPIENT may not hold an end of fiscal year fund balance of greater than four-times their annual DLD revenue received for that same fiscal year. The Cities of Albany, Emeryville, and Piedmont are excluded from this requirement.

RECIPIENT found to be non-compliant with this requirement (over the allowable maximum balance) must return the equal amount of DLD funds that exceeds the maximum allowable end of fiscal year fund balance to Alameda CTC, as approved by the Commission.

	Т	his section provides a tool	to monitor a RECIPIENT's c	ompliance to this policy.
		Maximum Allowed		Current Balance
	Total Annual	Balance	Current DLD	Over / Under
	Revenue	(4x Annual)	Balance	Maximum Allowed
	(A)	(B) = (A) * 4	(C)	(D) = (C) - (B)
VRF Program	\$ 401,863	\$ 1,607,452	\$ 1,427,725	\$ (179,727)

Exemption Requests: RECIPIENT must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances have occurred, and provide a timely expenditure plan that would justify the exemption.

For Exemption consideration, answer the follow:

1) Explain and justify why there is a excess balance beyond the maximum allowed.

2) Describe an Expenditure Plan, activities, and estimated timeframe to draw down balances.

Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2023-24

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1a. What is agency's 2023 Pavement Condition Index (PCI)? Use same PCI reported to MTC for their Pavement Condition Rpt.

https://mtc.ca.gov/operations/programs-projects/streets-roads-arterials/pavement-condition-index

56

PCI =

1b. What is the basis for your PCI number if not from MTC Report - https://mtc.ca.gov/operations/programs-projects/streets-roads-arterials/pavement-conditionindex?

N/A

1c. If your PCI fell below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what corrective actions are being implemented to increase the PCI? Additionally, if your agency's PCI has been consistently under 60 in the past three years, explain why.

. .

Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

To improve the average pavement condition index (PCI), City of San Leandro is in the process of hiring 3 additional engineers to help with the workload, in addition to involving the services of pavement design consultants. San Leandro has incorporated new innovative technologies, such as Cold-Inplacerecycled Asphalt Concrete treatment, to extend the funds to as many streets as possible. Additionally, staff has started an informational series to members of the City Council to educate them about the City's Pavement Management Program, the funding requirements and how a bond measure will help improve the City's PCI.

2a. How much of the program fund balance is encumbered into active contracts/projects?

Encumbered value should be less than or equal to the available balance.

		Ş Er	ncumbered	
VRF Balance	\$	1,427,725	\$	99,281
Total	\$	1,427,725	\$	99,281

2b. Why is there a fund balance? Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

City experienced staff turnovers, which has impacted efficient delivery of projects. Recently, City hired new engineers, which will improvee the efficient of project delivery shortly.

2c. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status
Ŭ	Repair and Maintenance - Construction of curb ramps is complete	\$ 547,987	Underway
Annual Street Sealing FY 24	Repair and Maintenance	\$ 753,934	Underway

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program

Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2023-24

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

3. Confirm all expenditures were governing body approved (Yes/No).

Yes

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	VRF
Article	
Website	Yes
Signage	Yes

Copy of article,

website, signage

attached?

Yes
105
Yes

If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed. Staff turnover impacted our ability to establish and

·····, ·······························
communication with our ACTC counterpart
https://www.sanleandro.org/276/Capital-Improvement-
Program-CIP

Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program

					Reporting Period - Fise	cal Year 2023	-24				
				TABLE 2: D	ETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPEN	IDITURES ANI	D ACCOMPL	ISHMENTS			
		ary of VRF Expenditures t correspond to your Aud		year. Performance reporting/quantity complete and nts and Table 1 values	l other fund expenditures should be co	nsistent with rep	orting data sen	t to other agencies (regional/state/fe	deral reporting).		
No.	Project Phase (Drop-down Menu)	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	ame Project Description/Benefits FY 23-24 Vertex FY 23-24 Vert						
1	Construction	Signals	Capital	Adaptive Traffic Control Signal Sys Upgr; 143-28-196	Improve signal operations and safety enhancement	1	Signals		1. Direct (in EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$ 145,000
2	Project Closeout	Street Resurfacing/Main	Capital	Street Overlay/Rehab 17-18	Repair and maintain streets	9.6	Lane Miles		1. Direct (in EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$ 453,000
			100%					TOTAL			\$ 598,000
		a. Total Capital	\$ 598,000					Match to Table 1?			TRUE

Is the total percentage of Capital vs Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support) Costs GREATER THAN

50%? If not, explain how capital investments will increase in the future.

